

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

MAY 2021

EDITOR'S NOTE: CASHIER'S CHECKS

Steven A. Meyerowitz

**PAPER TIGERS? CASHIER'S CHECKS, NONPAYMENT, AND THE HOLDER IN DUE
COURSE DEBATE**

Thomas O. Ashby and Eli A. Rosenberg

FDIC ADOPTS FINAL RULE REGARDING ILC APPLICATIONS

Bob Jaworski

THE FDIC'S RAPID PHASED PROTOTYPING COMPETITION

Zayne Ridenhour Tweed, James W. Stevens, Alan D. Wingfield, and Gregory Parisi

**MSB OR NOT MSB? THAT IS THE QUESTION (FOR DETERMINING APPLICABILITY OF
ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING RULES)**

Stephen R. Heifetz, Joshua Kaplan, Julie E. Krosnicki, and Christina Wang

THE DAWN OF A NEW DAY: EXPANSIVE LAW SIGNALS HEIGHTENED AML ENFORCEMENT

Inbal P. Garrity, Ariel S. Glasner, William E. Lawler III, Jed M. Silversmith, and
Victoria Ortega



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 138

NUMBER 5

May 2021

Editor's Note: Cashier's Checks Steven A. Meyerowitz	253
Paper Tigers? Cashier's Checks, Nonpayment, and the Holder in Due Course Debate Thomas O. Ashby and Eli A. Rosenberg	255
FDIC Adopts Final Rule Regarding ILC Applications Bob Jaworski	283
The FDIC's Rapid Phased Prototyping Competition Zayne Ridenhour Tweed, James W. Stevens, Alan D. Wingfield, and Gregory Parisi	291
MSB or Not MSB? That Is the Question (for Determining Applicability of Anti-Money Laundering Rules) Stephen R. Heifetz, Joshua Kaplan, Julie E. Krosnicki, and Christina Wang	296
The Dawn of a New Day: Expansive Law Signals Heightened AML Enforcement Inbal P. Garrity, Ariel S. Glasner, William E. Lawler III, Jed M. Silversmith, and Victoria Ortega	304

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2021 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

CARLETON GOSS

Counsel, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2021 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

The Dawn of a New Day: Expansive Law Signals Heightened AML Enforcement

*By Inbal P. Garrity, Ariel S. Glasner, William E. Lawler III, Jed M. Silversmith, and Victoria Ortega**

This article summarizes the key reforms to the Bank Secrecy Act promulgated under the Anti-Money Laundering Act.

On January 1, 2021, the U.S. Senate voted to override the president's veto and to enact the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (the "NDAA").¹ Incorporated into the NDAA, the Anti-Money Laundering Act ("AMLA") sets into motion the most comprehensive reform to the Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") in nearly two decades. The new landscape will feature:

- Heightened enforcement capabilities for the U.S. Departments of Treasury and Justice to investigate and prosecute money laundering crimes;
- New corporate transparency requirements for entities to disclose the identity of their beneficial owners; and
- Greater incentives for whistleblowers to report potential money laundering violations.

This article summarizes the key reforms to the BSA promulgated under the AMLA.

EXTENDING THE REACH OF THE BSA AND ITS ENFORCEMENT

The AMLA significantly broadens who is covered under the BSA. Specifically, the new law extends the BSA more explicitly to cryptocurrency businesses by amending the definition of "financial institutions" and "money transmitting businesses" to include businesses engaged in the exchange or transmission of

* Inbal P. Garrity (igarrity@blankrome.com), Ariel S. Glasner (aglasner@blankrome.com), and William E. Lawler III (wlawler@blankrome.com) are partners, and Jed M. Silversmith (jsilversmith@blankrome.com) is of counsel, in the White Collar Defense & Investigations practice at Blank Rome LLP. Victoria Ortega (vortega@blankrome.com) is an associate in the firm's Business Litigation practice group.

¹ The William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, H.R. 6395 (Conference Report Dec. 2, 2020), 116th Cong. (2020) § 6403 ("2021 NDAA"), available at <https://docs.house.gov/billsthisweek/20201207/CRPT-116hrpt617.pdf>.

“value that substitutes for currency.”² The AMLA also expands the BSA to cover antique dealers.³

The AMLA enhances penalties for repeat violations of the BSA. It establishes new criminal and civil penalties in cases involving misrepresentation of material facts from or to a financial institution in transactions involving assets of a politically exposed person (“PEP”) or a member of his or her family.⁴ The AMLA also imposes criminal and civil penalties for failure to make certain disclosures regarding transactions involving financial institutions and entities that have been identified as a “primary money laundering concern,” i.e., a financial institution or jurisdiction with lax money laundering laws.⁵

At the same time, the federal government will be equipped with better resources and enforcement tools to pursue money laundering investigations. The new law encourages the reporting of potential misconduct by making whistleblower awards compulsory, rather than discretionary, and increases maximum awards for reporting BSA violations from \$150,000 to up to 30 percent of the moneys collected for monetary sanctions more than \$1 million.⁶

A separate provision of the NDAA permits the government to pay a whistleblower for information that leads to the initiation of a civil or criminal forfeiture case involving the laundered assets of a PEP.⁷

The AMLA also increases staffing at the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (“FinCEN”) and the U.S. Department of Treasury to combat money laundering and terrorist financing.

The AMLA further expands the Department of Justice’s (“DOJ”) authority to investigate conduct abroad by obtaining records in the custody of foreign financial institutions that maintain correspondent bank accounts in the United States. Previously, DOJ could issue subpoenas to “request records relating to such correspondent account.”⁸ Under the new law, DOJ will have the ability to pursue “any records relating to the correspondent account or any account at the

² AMLA, § 6102(d).

³ AMLA, § 6102(d); AMLA, § 6110(a)(1) (31 U.S.C. § 5312(a)(2)(Y) as amended).

⁴ AMLA, § 6313 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5335(b)).

⁵ AMLA, § 6313 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5335(c)).

⁶ AMLA, § 6314 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5323(b)(1)).

⁷ NDAA, § 9703(b).

⁸ 31 U.S.C. § 5318(k)(3)(A).

foreign bank, including records maintained outside of the United States” in connection with investigations into BSA violations.⁹

Following enactment of the AMLA, companies and financial institutions should expect to see greater scrutiny of corporate AML compliance programs and more frequent enforcement activity from the U.S. Departments of Treasury and Justice. They should therefore review the sufficiency of their AML compliance programs. Companies should also ensure they have the policies, mechanisms, and/or staffing in place to facilitate employees’ (and, in some cases, third parties’) anonymous or confidential reporting of allegations of suspected misconduct as a response to the new whistleblower incentives.

UNMASKING CORPORATE ENTITIES’ BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The Corporate Transparency Act of the AMLA addresses what has been criticized as a “glaring hole”¹⁰ in, and a “significant vulnerability”¹¹ to, U.S. AML and counterterrorism enforcement efforts. It requires companies (e.g., corporations, limited liability companies, and partnerships) covered by the new law to report identifying information regarding their beneficial ownership to FinCEN. “Beneficial ownership” is a broadly defined term that refers to the individual(s) who directly or indirectly owns or exercises control over a legal entity.

Prior to passage of this act, bad actors engaging in financial crimes may have been able to evade detection by conducting transactions through corporate structures. Disclosing beneficial ownership information at the time of company formation or after changes in entity ownership is intended, at least in part, to prevent illicit actors from misusing shell or front companies. Once implemented, the new law will also restrict beneficial owners from taking corporate cover in states, such as Delaware, Wyoming, and Nevada, that historically have permitted the formation of anonymously held companies.

Under the Corporate Transparency Act, certain companies will be required to disclose and to keep current the name, date of birth, address, and unique identifying number from an “acceptable identification document” for each

⁹ AMLA, § 6308 (31 U.S.C. § 5318(k)(3)(A)(i) as amended).

¹⁰ Treasury Secretary Mnuchin’s testimony before the Senate Finance Committee Hearing on the president’s Fiscal Year 2021 Budget (February 12, 2020).

¹¹ U.S. National Strategy for Combating Terrorist and Other Illicit Financing at 12.

beneficial owner.¹² The reported identifying information will be maintained by FinCEN in a registry, which will be available to law enforcement.¹³

The new law principally targets small businesses as it exempts existing companies operating in the United States that employ more than 20 full-time employees in the United States and generate more than \$5 million in gross revenue.¹⁴ The law also exempts certain banks, bank holding companies, and credit unions; money transmitting businesses registered with FinCEN; and certain insurance companies.¹⁵ Under the Corporate Transparency Act, violators who willfully provide false information to FinCEN face fines of up to \$10,000 and/or imprisonment of up to two years.¹⁶

The new reporting requirements dovetail with FinCEN's 2018 Customer Due Diligence Rule ("CDD Rule"), requiring covered financial institutions to identify and verify the identities of beneficial owners of legal entity customers at the time of account opening and defined points thereafter.¹⁷ While FinCEN's beneficial ownership registry is confidential, financial institutions that obtain customer consent will be permitted to access the registry to verify account opening information.

Accordingly, once the registry is established, financial institutions will be able to more easily satisfy their verification and identification obligations under the CDD Rule by confirming beneficial ownership with FinCEN.¹⁸

The disclosure requirements under the Corporate Transparency Act will go into effect upon the promulgation of implementing regulations by FinCEN and the U.S. Department of Treasury, no later than January 1, 2022.¹⁹ To prepare for compliance with the new law, companies formed or registered to do business in the United States should consider the following steps:

- Assess the scope and obligation of "reporting companies" to report identifying information to FinCEN.
- Develop procedures and dedicate staff to the aggregating, maintaining, and reporting of beneficial owner's identifying information. Businesses

¹² AMLA, § 6403 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5336(b)(2)(A)).

¹³ AMLA, § 6403 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5336).

¹⁴ AMLA, § 6403 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5336(a)(11)).

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ AMLA, § 6403 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5336(h)(3)(A)).

¹⁷ 31 C.F.R. § 1010.230.

¹⁸ AMLA, § 6403 (adding 31 U.S.C. § 5336(c)(2)(B)(iii)).

¹⁹ AMLA, § 6403(b)(5).

with complex entity structures and/or many beneficial owners will need more resources to compile the necessary identifying information.

- Monitor FinCEN's rulemaking process to implement regulations pursuant to the Corporate Transparency Act for guidance on terms undefined in the Corporate Transparency Act, such as "substantial control."
- Track pending revisions to FinCEN's CDD Rule, which are intended to reduce duplication of efforts and burdens on financial institutions and legal entity customers in light of the Corporate Transparency Act.

CONCLUSION

Financial institutions and companies formed or doing business in the United States should review and assess the sufficiency of their compliance programs to greet this new AML landscape. Those that dedicate the necessary resources to conducting such a review, including soliciting the input of legal counsel, will find themselves well-prepared for the coming expansion of the BSA.²⁰

²⁰ On April 1, 2021, FinCEN issued an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("ANPRM"), soliciting public comment on the implementation of the beneficial ownership reporting provisions of the Corporate Transparency Act. The ANPRM encouraged all interested parties, particularly those that would be affected by the reporting provisions or would seek access to such information, to submit written comments prior to May 5, 2021. *See* <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/05/2021-06922/beneficial-ownership-information-reporting-requirements>.